

THE SCHUR MULTIPLIER, PROFINITE COMPLETIONS AND DECIDABILITY

MARTIN R. BRIDSON

ABSTRACT. We fix a finitely presented group Q and consider short exact sequences $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ with Γ finitely generated. The inclusion $N \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ induces a morphism of profinite completions $\hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$. We prove that this is an isomorphism for all N and Γ if and only if Q is super-perfect and has no proper subgroups of finite index.

We prove that there is no algorithm that, given a finitely presented, residually finite group Γ and a finitely presentable subgroup $P \hookrightarrow \Gamma$, can determine whether or not $\hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism.

INTRODUCTION

The profinite completion of a group Γ is the inverse system of the directed system of finite quotients of Γ ; it is denoted $\hat{\Gamma}$. The natural map $\Gamma \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ is injective if and only if Γ is residually finite. In [4] Bridson and Grunewald settled a question of Grothendieck [6] by constructing pairs of finitely presented, residually finite groups $u : P \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ such that $\hat{u} : \hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism but P is not isomorphic to Γ . Grothendieck's interest in this question was motivated by considerations in algebraic geometry: he wanted to understand the extent to which the topological fundamental group of a complex projective variety determines the algebraic fundamental group and vice versa. In [6] he proved that if $A \neq 0$ is a commutative ring and $u : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ is a homomorphism of finitely generated groups, then $\hat{u} : \hat{\Gamma}_1 \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}_2$ is an isomorphism if and only if the restriction functor $u_A^* : \text{Rep}_A(\Gamma_2) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_A(\Gamma_1)$ is an equivalence of categories, where $\text{Rep}_A(\Gamma)$ is the category of finitely presented A -modules with a Γ -action.

In this article we address the following related question: given a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1,$$

with G finitely generated, what conditions on Q ensure that the induced map of profinite completions $\hat{\iota} : \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is an isomorphism, and how difficult is it to determine when these conditions hold? We shall also investigate the map

Date: 7 April 2008; 28 July 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20E18, 20F10.

Key words and phrases. Homology of groups, profinite completions, decision problems.

This research was supported by a Senior Fellowship from the EPSRC of Great Britain.

$\hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ induced by the inclusion of the fibre product $P = \{(g, g') \mid p(g) = p(g')\}$.

It is easy to see that $\hat{i} : \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is surjective if and only if Q has no non-trivial finite quotients. The following complementary result plays an important role in [9], [1] and [4]; for a proof see [4], p.366.

Lemma 0.1. *If Q has no non-trivial finite quotients and $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then $\hat{i} : \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is injective.*

The Künneth formula assures us that if Q has no non-trivial finite quotients and $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then $Q \times Q$ has the same properties. Thus, since $P/(N \times N) \cong Q$ and $(G \times G)/(N \times N) \cong Q \times Q$, the lemma implies that the inclusions $P \hookrightarrow N \times N \hookrightarrow G \times G$ induce isomorphisms $\hat{P} \cong \hat{N} \times \hat{N} \cong \hat{G} \times \hat{G}$.

Corollary 0.2. *If Q has no non-trivial finite quotients and $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then $P \hookrightarrow G \times G$ induces an isomorphism of profinite completions.*

The first purpose of this note is to explain why one cannot dispense with the condition $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ in these results.

Theorem A. *Let Q be a finitely presented group. In order that $\hat{i} : \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ be an isomorphism for all short exact sequences $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, with G finitely generated, it is necessary and sufficient that*

- (1) Q has no proper subgroups of finite index, and
- (2) $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

In Theorem 1.2 we shall prove a similar result with $P \hookrightarrow G \times G$ in place of $N \hookrightarrow G$. These results remain valid if one quantifies over various smaller classes of short exact sequences. For example, one can restrict to the case where N is finitely generated and G is both finitely presented and residually finite.

It is an open question of considerable interest to determine if there exists an algorithm that can determine, given a finite presentation, whether the group presented has any non-trivial finite quotients or not. On the other hand, it is known that there is no algorithm that, given a finite presentation, can determine whether or not the second homology of the group presented is trivial [7], [5]. By exploiting a carefully crafted instance of this phenomenon we prove:

Theorem B. *There exists a finite set $\mathcal{X}$ and recursive sequences (R_n) and (S_n) of finite sets of words of a fixed finite cardinality in the letters $\mathcal{X}^{\pm 1}$, such that:*

- (1) *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the group $\Gamma_n = \langle \mathcal{X} \mid R_n \rangle$ is residually finite;*
- (2) *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the subgroup $P_n \subset \Gamma_n$ generated by the image of S_n is finitely presentable;*
- (3) *there is no algorithm that can determine for which n the map $\hat{P}_n \rightarrow \hat{\Gamma}_n$ induced by inclusion is an isomorphism.*

1. THE PROOF OF THEOREM A

We are considering short exact sequences $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1$ with G finitely generated.

It is clear that $\hat{\iota} : \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is onto if and only if Q has no non-trivial finite quotients. Therefore, in the light of Lemma 0.1, the following proposition completes the proof of theorem A.

Proposition 1.1. *Let Q be a finitely presented group that has no non-trivial finite quotients. If $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ is non-trivial, then there is a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1$ of residually finite groups, with G finitely presented and N finitely generated, such that $\hat{\iota} : \hat{N} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is not injective. There is also such a sequence with G finitely generated and free (but N not finitely generated).*

Proof. We shall construct two short exact sequences, $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1$ and $1 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{u} G \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \rightarrow 1$, where I is contained in N and $\hat{u} : \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ is surjective ($\tilde{Q}$ has no non-trivial finite quotients). We will show that N/I maps onto some non-trivial finite group, which implies that the morphism $\hat{u}_N : \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{N}$ induced by inclusion is not surjective. Since $\hat{u} = \hat{\iota} \circ \hat{u}_N$ is surjective, it will follow that $\hat{\iota}$ is not injective.

The group $\tilde{Q}$ that we use in this construction is the *universal central extension* of Q . We remind the reader that a central extension of a group Q is a group $\tilde{Q}$ equipped with a homomorphism $\pi : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ whose kernel is central in $\tilde{Q}$. Such an extension is universal if, given any other central extension $\pi' : E \rightarrow Q$ of Q , there is a unique homomorphism $f : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi' \circ f = \pi$.

The standard reference for universal central extensions is [8], pp. 43-47. The properties that we need here are these: Q has a universal central extension $\tilde{Q}$ if (and only if) $H_1(Q; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$; there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 1;$$

and if Q has no non-trivial finite quotients, then neither does $\tilde{Q}$.

Since Q and $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$ are finitely presented, so is $\tilde{Q}$. We fix a finite presentation $\tilde{Q} = \langle A \mid R \rangle$ and consider the associated short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow F \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \rightarrow 1,$$

where F is the free group on A and I is the normal closure of R . We fix a finite set $Z \subset F$ whose image in $\tilde{Q}$ generates the kernel of $\pi : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ and define $N \subset F$ to be the normal closure of $R \cup Z$. Thus we obtain a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1.$$

By construction, N/I is isomorphic to $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus it is a non-trivial finitely generated abelian group, and hence has a non-trivial finite quotient.

The proof thus far deals with the case where G is free; it remains to prove that we can instead arrange for G to be finitely presented and N to be finitely generated. For this, one replaces the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow F \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \rightarrow 1$ in the above argument by the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \rightarrow 1$ furnished by Wise's version of the Rips construction [10], [11]; cf. the proof of theorem B below. $\square$

In the next section we shall need the following variation on theorem A. The *fibre product* $P \subset \Gamma \times \Gamma$ associated to a map $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow Q$ is the subgroup $\{(\gamma, \gamma') \mid \pi(\gamma) = \pi(\gamma')\}$. In the following proof we shall need the (easy) observation that P is a semi-direct product $K \rtimes \Gamma^\Delta$, where $K = \ker \pi \times \{1\}$ and $\Gamma^\Delta \subset \Gamma \times \Gamma$ is the diagonal subgroup; if K is central, this is a direct product.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Q be a finitely presented group. In order that, for all short exact sequences $1 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\iota} G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$, the inclusion of the associated fibre product induce an isomorphism $\hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{G} \times \hat{G}$, it is necessary and sufficient that*

- (1) Q has no proper subgroups of finite index, and
- (2) $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Proof. Corollary 0.2 establishes the sufficiency of the given conditions. To establish their necessity we argue, using the notation of the preceding proof, that if Q has no non-trivial finite quotients and $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, then the map $\hat{I} \times \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{P}$ induced by inclusion is not surjective. This is enough because $\hat{P} \rightarrow \hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ and $\hat{I} \times \hat{I} \rightarrow \hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ are surjective (cf. corollary 0.2).

$I \times I$ is the kernel of the map $G \times G \rightarrow \tilde{Q} \times \tilde{Q}$, which sends P to the fibre product of $\pi : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$. The semi-direct product decomposition described prior to this theorem shows that the fibre product of π is isomorphic to $H_2(Q; \mathbb{Z}) \times \tilde{Q}$. Hence $P/(I \times I)$ maps onto a non-trivial finite (abelian) group. $\square$

2. AN INABILITY TO RECOGNISE PROFINITE ISOMORPHISMS

Recall that a group presentation is termed *aspherical* if the universal cover of the standard 2-complex of the presentation is contractible. In section 3.2 of [3] an argument of Collins and Miller [5] is used to construct a recursive sequence of finite presentations $\Pi'_n \equiv \langle X \mid \underline{\Sigma}_n \rangle$ with the following properties; see [3] lemma 3.4.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Λ_n be the group with presentation $\Pi'_n \equiv \langle X \mid \underline{\Sigma}_n \rangle$.*

- (1) *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the group Λ_n has no non-trivial finite quotients.*
- (2) *The cardinality of $\underline{\Sigma}_n$ is independent of n , and $|\underline{\Sigma}_n| > |X|$.*
- (3) *If Λ_n is non-trivial then the presentation Π'_n is aspherical.*
- (4) *There does not exist an algorithm that can determine for which n the group Λ_n is trivial.*

Corollary 2.2. *If $\Lambda_n \neq 1$ then $H_2(\Lambda_n; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$.*

Proof. If $\Lambda_n \neq 1$ then the presentation Π'_n is aspherical and hence $H_2(\Lambda_n; \mathbb{Z})$ may be calculated as the second homology group of the standard 2-complex of Π'_n . This complex has no 3-cells and has more 2-cells than 1-cells, so its second homology is a non-trivial free-abelian group. $\square$

The following result is Corollary 3.6 of [3]; the proof relies on an argument I learned from Chuck Miller.

Proposition 2.3. *There is an algorithm that, given a finite presentation $\langle A \mid \underline{B} \rangle$ of a perfect group H , will output a finite presentation $\langle A \mid B \rangle$ for the universal central extension $\tilde{H}$ (with $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$ the map induced by the identity on A). Furthermore, $|B| = |X|(1 + |\underline{B}|)$.*

Remarks 2.4. (1) The images in $\tilde{H}$ of the words $b \in \underline{B}$ will be central, and together they generate the kernel of $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$.

(2) If H has a compact classifying space (as the groups Λ_n do), then $\tilde{H}$ does also; cf. [3] proposition 1.3.

The Proof of Theorem B. Let $(\tilde{\Pi}_n)$ be the sequence of finite presentations obtained by applying the algorithm of Proposition 2.3 to (Π'_n) .

We follow the main construction of [4] with the presentations $\tilde{\Pi}_n \equiv \langle X \mid \Sigma_n \rangle$ of the groups $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$ as input. As in [4], Wise's version of the Rips construction [11] can be used to construct an algorithm that associates to each finite group-presentation $\mathcal{Q} \equiv \langle Y \mid \Sigma \rangle$ a finite presentation $\mathcal{G} \equiv \langle Y, a_1, a_2, a_3 \mid \check{\Sigma} \rangle$ of a residually finite group G such that $|\check{\Sigma}| = |\Sigma| + 6|Y|$. There is an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} Q \rightarrow 1,$$

with $I = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$, where Q is the group presented by $\mathcal{Q}$.

We use this algorithm to convert the presentations $\tilde{\Pi}_n \equiv \langle X \mid \Sigma_n \rangle$ into presentations $\langle \mathcal{X} \mid R_n \rangle$ for the groups $\Gamma_n := G_n \times G_n$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is the disjoint union of two copies of $X \cup \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ and R_n is the obvious set of relations arising from the presentation $G_n = \langle X, a_1, a_2, a_3 \mid \check{\Sigma}_n \rangle$. Now, $|\check{\Sigma}_n| = |\Sigma_n| + 6|X|$ and R_n consists of two copies of $\check{\Sigma}_n$ together with $(|X| + 3)^2$ commutators. Thus $|R_n|$ is independent of n , as $|\Sigma_n|$ is (lemma 2.1(2) and proposition 2.3).

Let N_n denote the kernel of the composition $G_n \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_n \rightarrow \Lambda_n$. This is generated by $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\} \cup \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \underline{\Sigma}_n\}$; see remark 2.4(1).

Let $P_n \subset G_n \times G_n$ be the fibre product associated to the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow N_n \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \Lambda_n \rightarrow 1$. Note that P_n is generated by the set $S_n := \{(a_1, 1), (a_2, 1), (a_3, 1)\} \cup \{(\sigma, 1) \mid \sigma \in \underline{\Sigma}_n\} \cup \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$, whose cardinality does not depend on n . Now, N_n is finitely generated, G_n is finitely presented and $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$ has a compact classifying space (remark 2.4(2)). The 1-2-3 Theorem of [2] states that under these circumstances P_n is finitely presentable (but it does not give a finite presentation).

In the proof of theorem 1.2, we showed that $\hat{P}_n \rightarrow \hat{G}_n \times \hat{G}_n$ is not injective if $H_2(\Lambda_n; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, and corollary 2.2 assures us that this is the case if $\Lambda_n \neq 1$. On the other hand, if $\Lambda_n = 1$ then $P = G_n \times G_n$. According to lemma 2.1(4), there is no algorithm that can determine, for given n , which of these alternatives holds. Thus theorem B is proved. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass and A. Lubotzky, *Nonarithmetic superrigid groups: counterexamples to Platonov's conjecture*, Annals of Math. **151** (2000), 1151–1173.
- [2] G. Baumslag, M.R. Bridson, C.F. Miller III, H. Short, *Fibre products, non-positive curvature, and decision problems*, Comm. Math. Helv. **75** (2000), 457–477.
- [3] M.R. Bridson, *Decision problems and profinite completions of groups*, preprint arXiv:0810.0390.
- [4] M.R. Bridson and F.J. Grunewald, *Grothendieck's problems concerning profinite completions and representations of groups*, Annals of Math. **160** (2004), 359–373.
- [5] D.J. Collins and C.F. Miller III, *The word problem in groups of cohomological dimension 2*, in “Groups St. Andrews in Bath”, LMS Lecture Notes 270 (1998), (eds. Campbell, Robertson, Smith), pp. 211–218.
- [6] A. Grothendieck, *Représentations linéaires et compactification profinie des groupes discrets*, Manuscripta Math. **2** (1970), 375–396.
- [7] C. McA. Gordon, *Some embedding theorems and undecidability questions for groups*, in Combinatorial and Geometric Group Theory (Edinburgh 1993), LMS Lecture Notes **204**. Cambridge (1995), 105–110.
- [8] J. Milnor, *‘Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory’*, Ann. Math. Studies 72, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1971.
- [9] V. Platonov and O.I. Tavgen, *Grothendieck's problem on profinite completions of groups*, Soviet Math. Doklady **33** (1986), 822–825.
- [10] E. Rips, *Subgroups of small cancellation groups*, Bull. London Math Soc., **14** (1982), 45–47.
- [11] D. Wise, *A residually finite version of Rips's construction*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), 23–29.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, 24-29 ST GILES', OXFORD OX1 3LB, UK
E-mail address: `bridson@maths.ox.ac.uk`